

ARTICLE

Coexistence between similar invaders: The case of two cosmopolitan exotic insects

Matthew B. Arnold¹ | Michael Back¹ | Michael Daniel Crowell² Nageen Farooq³ 1 Erin VanderJeugdt¹ | Kayla I. Perry¹⁰ | Trixie Taucher¹ T | Christie A. Bahlai^{1,5} Douglas A. Landis⁴

Revised: 22 November 2022

Prashant Ghimire¹ | Omon A. Obarein² | Kyle E. Smart³ |

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio, USA

²Department of Geography, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio, USA

³Department of Earth Sciences, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio, USA

⁴Department of Entomology, and Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA

⁵Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan State University, Hickory Corners, Michigan, USA

Correspondence Christie A. Bahlai Email: cbahlai@kent.edu

Funding information

Bioenergy Research Center, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Grant/Award Number: DE-SC0018409; Kellogg Biological Station; NSF Long-term Ecological Research Program, Grant/Award Number: DEB 1832042; Michigan State University AgBioResearch; Mozilla Foundation; National Science Foundation, Grant/Award Numbers: DBI 2045721, OAC 1838807

Handling Editor: Lee A. Dyer

Abstract

Biological invasions are usually examined in the context of their impacts on native species. However, few studies have examined the dynamics between invaders when multiple exotic species successfully coexist in a novel environment. Yet, long-term coexistence of now established exotic species has been observed in North American lady beetle communities. Exotic lady beetles Harmonia axyridis and Coccinella septempunctata were introduced for biological control in agricultural systems and have since become dominant species within these communities. In this study, we investigated coexistence via spatial and temporal niche partitioning among H. axyridis and C. septempunctata using a 31-year data set from southwestern Michigan, USA. We found evidence of long-term coexistence through a combination of small-scale environmental, habitat, and seasonal mechanisms. Across years, H. axyridis and C. septempunctata experienced patterns of cyclical dominance likely related to yearly variation in temperature and precipitation. Within years, populations of C. septempunctata peaked early in the growing season at 550 degree days, while H. axyridis populations grew in the season until 1250 degree days and continued to have high activity after this point. C. septempunctata was generally most abundant in herbaceous crops, whereas H. axyridis did not display strong habitat preferences. These findings suggest that within this region H. axyridis has broader habitat and abiotic environmental preferences, whereas C. septempunctata thrives under more specific ecological conditions. These ecological differences have contributed to the continued coexistence of these two invaders. Understanding the mechanisms that allow for the coexistence of dominant exotic species contributes to native biodiversity conservation management of invaded ecosystems.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. Ecology published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Ecological Society of America.

K E Y W O R D S

biological control, *Coccinella septempunctata*, Coccinellidae, coexistence, *Harmonia axyridis*, invasion, niche partitioning

INTRODUCTION

The establishment and spread of exotic species is a major driver of global environmental change, threatening native biodiversity, ecosystem services and function, and human well-being (Ricciardi, 2007; Vitousek et al., 1996). Invasions of insect species have occurred on a global scale through intentional or unintentional introductions, resulting in substantial economic and ecological impacts (Bradshaw et al., 2016; Kenis et al., 2009). The ecological impacts of exotic insects affect native species directly and indirectly (Kenis et al., 2009; Pyšek et al., 2020; Vilà et al., 2011). For example, when exotic insects can successfully establish and spread outside their historical ranges, novel communities are formed where native and exotic species may interact directly through herbivory, predation, and parasitism (Boettner et al., 2000; Holway et al., 2002; Liebhold et al., 1995). Additionally, exotic species may cause indirect and/or cascading ecological impacts via various mechanisms such as exploitative and apparent competition, disease transmission, and alteration of habitat or food resources (Gandhi & Herms, 2010; Klooster et al., 2018; Louda et al., 1997; Morin et al., 2007). As the frequency of invasions continues to increase globally (Lockwood et al., 2013; Seebens et al., 2017), research investigating novel interactions among native and exotic species is essential for assessing the impacts of invaders as well as developing management strategies to conserve biodiversity.

Successful invasion of native communities by exotic species is context dependent and influenced by multiple factors such as abiotic environmental conditions, properties of the native community, and characteristics of the invading species (Blackburn et al., 2011; Lockwood et al., 2013). Because establishment success is influenced by local niche processes and interactions among species, hypotheses to explain the outcome of this stage in the invasion process draw on concepts within niche and coexistence theory (Godoy, 2019; Shea & Chesson, 2002). For example, the diversity-invasibility hypothesis (i.e., the biotic resistance hypothesis) predicts that more diverse native communities are more stable and thus more resistant to the establishment of exotic species than less diverse communities (Jeschke, 2014). This prediction is based on the premise that less diverse communities have more vacant niches available to invaders and a lower probability of occurrence of strong competitors or

predators that could limit coexistence (Levine & D'Antonio, 1999; Ricciardi et al., 2013). Long-term coexistence of exotic species within native communities is determined through stabilizing (niche differences) and equalizing (fitness differences) mechanisms wherein species vary in their environmental responses, resource acquisition, and/or competitive ability (Chesson, 2000a; HilleRisLambers et al., 2012). Research has primarily focused on understanding interactions among native and exotic species to discern ecological impacts (e.g., Ricciardi et al., 2013). However, invasions have become widespread such that native communities are more commonly invaded by multiple exotic species, which then directly or indirectly interact with each other to promote or inhibit coexistence.

Lady beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) are predatory insects that have been intentionally introduced for biological control in agricultural systems (Koch, 2003; Obrycki & Kring, 1998; Rondoni et al., 2021). This has led to the successful establishment and spread of several exotic lady beetles, including the Asian species Harmonia axyridis (Pallas) and the European species Coccinella septempunctata (Linnaeus) in North America. Both species are found in diverse habitats and primarily aphidophagous (Hodek & Michaud, 2008; Koch, 2003) but will feed on other arthropod prey and pollen if aphid resources are scarce (Berkvens et al., 2008; Berkvens, Landuyt, et al., 2010; Evans, 2009). The establishment and spread of H. axyridis and C. septempunctata have coincided with declines in native lady beetle species, while both invaders have been found to coexist (Alyokhin & Sewell, 2004; Harmon et al., 2007; Roy et al., 2016). For example, H. axyridis and C. septempunctata were highly abundant within native lady beetle communities that were sampled over 24 years in southwestern Michigan, USA (Bahlai, Colunga-Garcia, et al., 2015). Because these invaders have become dominant species within many native communities (Bahlai, Colunga-Garcia, et al., 2015; Gardiner et al., 2021; Harmon et al., 2007), direct and indirect forms of competition are hypothesized as drivers of declines in native species (Pell et al., 2008).

Competitive interactions have been primarily investigated among native and exotic lady beetle species to assess mechanisms of decline and the impact of invasion (Pell et al., 2008; Rondoni et al., 2021; Roy et al., 2016). These exotic species share similar preferences in habitat and prey as some native lady beetles such that the degree of niche overlap with functionally similar invaders is hypothesized to drive competitive interactions (Snyder, 2009). Apparent competition (Smith & Gardiner, 2013) and intraguild predation (Gagnon et al., 2011; Thomas et al., 2013) have been observed among native and exotic species in the field, lending some support to this hypothesis. Evidence of exploitative competition has also been observed wherein competition from exotic species for shared prey has reduced weight gain and reproduction in some lady beetle species (Zaviezo et al., 2019) and shifted the habitat-use patterns of native species from agricultural to natural environments such as forests (Bahlai, Colunga-Garcia, et al., 2015; Evans, 2004; Grez et al., 2013).

Less is known about competitive interactions among the two invaders H. axyridis and C. septempunctata, both of which are considered efficient competitors and capable of exploiting diverse habitats (Hodek & Michaud, 2008; Koch, 2003) but are known to coexist within similar environments. High levels of intraguild predation among larvae of *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* have been observed in the laboratory (Snyder et al., 2004) and in the field (Gagnon et al., 2011). Outcomes of competitive interactions are influenced by the relative body size, mobility, age, and diet specificity of larvae as well as prey density (Hironori & Katsuhiro, 1997; Yasuda et al., 2004). Larvae of H. axyridis tend to be larger and more aggressive than C. septempunctata (Ware & Majerus, 2008; Yasuda et al., 2001), which may translate to an asymmetric competitive advantage. For example, larvae of H. axyridis were more successful at escaping attacks from C. septempunctata in laboratory pairwise experiments than vice versa, thereby reducing the survival of *C. septempunctata* larvae compared to H. axyridis larvae (Yasuda et al., 2001). Moreover, adults of *H. axyridis* found and consumed more aphids in the laboratory than other lady beetle species including C. septempunctata (Leppanen et al., 2012). Asymmetric competition in favor of H. axyridis suggests other forms of niche partitioning are likely facilitating coexistence of these invaders over time such as differential use of habitats and environmental preferences. For instance, colder minimum winter temperatures affect populations of both species, but effects are more strongly negative on C. septempunctata (Cheng et al., 2020). Increased overwintering survival of H. axyridis in very cold environments is often attributed to their behavior of hibernating in more temperate locations in buildings or under tree bark (Roy et al., 2016). High overwintering survival of *H. axyridis* following cold winters is predicted to lead to larger populations in spring and earlier reproduction than C. septempunctata (Raak-van den Berg et al., 2012), which could facilitate coexistence through alternating species dominance across years. Improved understanding of the mechanisms that allow coexistence and success of these dominant exotic species

will inform biological control programs as well as biodiversity conservation management of invaded ecosystems.

To understand how *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* have coexisted within native lady beetle communities over time, this study investigated environmental, habitat, and seasonal niche partitioning among these two dominant exotic species using a 31-year data set from southwestern Michigan, USA. These long-term data allowed for the spatiotemporal analyses of abundances of both exotic species in nine plant habitats embedded within an agricultural landscape. Our goals were to evaluate environmental and ecological factors that may facilitate coexistence among these two species. We hypothesized that the coexistence of these exotic species may occur via (1) temporal niche partitioning with differing phenology among species or (2) spatial niche partitioning with differing habitat preferences among species.

METHODS

Data for this study were collected at the Kellogg Biological Station Long-Term Ecological Research (KBS LTER) site located in southwestern Michigan, USA. Our study focuses on data produced in the Main Cropping System Experiment (MCSE) at KBS LTER, a long-term agronomic experiment started in 1989, and the forest monitoring plots initiated in 1993 to document reference conditions adjacent to the MCSE site (Landis, 2020). The experiment consists of an annual crop rotation (maize, soybean, wheat) maintained under four levels of management intensity, three perennial cropping systems (alfalfa/switchgrass, poplar tree plantation, and early successional vegetation maintained by yearly burnings), and three forest types (successional forest on reclaimed cropland, old growth deciduous fragments, and conifer plantations). In this study, we pooled data across management regimes by dominant plant community, totaling nine total habitats. Within each research plot, data were collected at five subsampling stations, with most measurements taken within the growing season (May to September of each year). Lady beetle populations are the focus of the insect survey, although several other taxa have also been recorded in more recent years (Colunga-Garcia et al., 1997; Colunga-Garcia & Gage, 1998; Hermann et al., 2016).

The KBS LTER insect survey was established in 1989, soon after the arrival of *C. septempunctata* at the site (Maredia et al., 1992). *C. septempunctata* is a large, primarily aphidophagous lady beetle believed to have been intentionally introduced to North America from Europe (Schaefer et al., 1987) but is now Holarctic in distribution (Hodek & Michaud, 2008). By 1994, another invading lady beetle arrived at KBS LTER. Like *C. septempunctata*,

H. axyridis is primarily aphidophagous and thrives in many habitat types with a now near-global distribution (Adriaens et al., 2008; Roy et al., 2016). Originally native to the Asian continent from northeastern China to Siberia (Roy et al., 2016), this species has been introduced as a biological control agent since the early 20th century to North America and Europe (Cheng et al., 2020; Roy et al., 2016; Sethuraman et al., 2018). Although *C. septempunctata* is generally thought to be a European species, some sources note that the natural, or at least naturalized, ranges of *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* have overlapped, and thus the two species have co-occurred in parts of China for quite some time (Cheng et al., 2020).

Insect surveys have been performed at the KBS LTER since 1989 using yellow sticky traps, placed at permanent sampling stations within the MCSE and forest sites. Yellow sticky traps were placed on T-posts, held at 1.2 m above the ground at each station during the growing season. Sample collection periods varied from 8 to 15 weeks each year depending upon crop management, environmental conditions, and labor availability (Bahlai et al., 2013; Bahlai, Colunga-Garcia, et al., 2015). Traps were inspected weekly, lady beetles captured were identified to species, and observations were recorded as the number of adults, by species, by date.

Insect data were examined at two different temporal resolutions. First, to match the typical sampling frequency during the growing season, data were aggregated into weeks (records taken within each Monday to Sunday period to account for differences in sampling day). These weekly data were also aggregated across subsamples, and subsample numbers were tallied to account for any variation in sampling effort due to lost traps. A typical sample represented all individuals of each target species captured each week within a treatment by repetition combination, with the total actual traps (usually five) reported for this time recorded as a sampling effort covariate. When aggregated this way, typical captures were zero-biased, with a range of 0-70 (median = 0, mean = 1.46) beetles of a species per trapping unit, per week. Yearly data were compiled in a similar way, except data were aggregated across sampling weeks and reported as total captures of a given species, per treatment by repetition combination within the year, with a covariate to account for sampling effort (~50 traps per year). This temporal resolution resulted in captures ranging from zero to 198 individuals of a species per observation (median = 8, mean = 14.8). Data were culled at the first week of September (week of year = 35) as data collection usually ended by late August, making records beyond this point sparse in most vears.

In addition to the species count data, we compiled contextual data from the weather station records available

from the KBS site (https://lter.kbs.msu.edu/datatables/7). Because some missing measurements occurred in these data, we adapted a gap-filling algorithm that took the average of the preceding and immediately following measurement, then substituted this value for any blank observations (Hermann et al., 2016). This manipulation allowed reasonable estimates of cumulative weather data metrics to be calculated.

Weather data were aggregated at complementary resolutions to accompany each temporal resolution of lady beetle data. For weekly data, we computed degree day and precipitation accumulation (after Hermann et al., 2016) within each week and then aggregated over the growing season, using daily maximum and minimum temperatures as inputs, a baseline development threshold of 10°C, and a starting date of January 1. We also computed several derived weather metrics appropriate to the resolution of the insect data (number of rainy days within an observation week, minimum and maximum temperatures observed within that sampling week). For yearly data, we computed several derived metrics to characterize weather at a coarser level within a given year, through the growing season. For this, we used four time points (week of year 20, 25, 30, 35) and computed the degree day and precipitation accumulation at each, allowing us to examine how periods of weather of a given type affected the overall number of each species observed in a given year.

To examine patterns of habitat use across the nine plant communities between the two species by time period, we conducted 2D nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analyses on each data resolution using the vegan package version 2.5-7 (Oksanen et al., 2013). First, data were subjected to square-root transformation and Wisconsin double standardization and then subjected to a permutational ANOVA to determine whether statistical differences occurred between the two species in their habitat use at either time period. Environmental variables were then fitted to the NMDS for each temporal resolution (Oksanen et al., 2013). Parameters used in the final model were selected from a global model that included all computed weather parameters, subject to backward selection to simplify a final model with parameters with the strongest explanatory results.

To examine the within-season dynamics between the two lady beetle species and the roles of multiple environmental factors in driving abundances of each species, we constructed a generalized additive model (GAM) for the number of lady beetles observed, where each parameter tested was allowed to interact with species, allowing us to directly examine how each species response to a given parameter differed. All model structures tested included an offset for trapping effort, used a quasi-Poisson error structure, and smoothing parameters were fitted using restricted maximum likelihood (REML) using the mgcv version 1.8-36 (Marra & Wood, 2011; Wood, 2006). Because of the strong autocorrelation between many weather parameters (Appendix S1: Figure S1), we used a substitution-based forward model selection approach by substituting a single parameter of each type (temperature variables: mean temperature, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, degree day accumulation within sampling week, total degree day accumulation; precipitation variables: mean daily precipitation, number of rainy days, precipitation accumulation over the year, maximum daily precipitation within sample week) and evaluating model performance for single variables, then using the best single predictor model (as determined by the lowest value of -REML). We then added terms with a Pearson correlation <0.5 with existing terms to our best single-environment variable model. Our final model included parameters for degree day accumulation, maximum daily rainfall within the sampling week, maximum temperature observed in the sampling week, and year, as well as a categorical variable for habitat of capture.

Between-year dynamics of the two species were modeled similarly to within-year dynamics, but to allow different conditions to act as drivers for each species, we constructed separate models and corresponding model selections for each species. All models contained offset terms to account for sampling effort and a categorical variable for habitat of capture and used a quasi-Poisson error structure. Smoothing was performed using REML. Each of these models for the number of adults of a given species also included a covariate for the number of adults of the other species. Because an initial correlation analysis suggested minimal autocorrelation between environmental parameters at this scale (Pearson correlations of all parameters <0.5, Appendix S1: Figure S2), we completed a backward selection of all environmental variables, assessing model fit and concurvity at each step, eliminating the parameter with the least apparent contribution to explanatory power of the model, and rerunning and repeating assessments. This procedure was repeated until concurvity estimates for all parameters were <0.8 and no parameters remained that could be removed without losing the explanatory power of the model. For all environmental parameters tested, the smoothing parameter was set to sp = 1 to minimize the impact of outlying data points on the overall trends observed and to prevent overfitting (Hunsicker et al., 2016).

All data manipulation and aggregation, as well as all exploratory and analytical approaches, were completed in R 4.1.1 (R Development Core Team, 2017). 5 of 16

The analysis code and development history are available in Bahlai et al. (2022).

RESULTS

For conciseness within the results, we have abbreviated C. septempunctata as C7 and H. axyridis as HA. After culling data in the first week of September in all years and all data prior to 1994, after HA arrived, we observed nearly equivalent total captures of the two species, with 19,637 individuals of C7 and 20,412 of HA. Overall, mean abundance $(\pm SD)$ per observation at the weekly resolution was 1.4 ± 3.8 for C7 and 1.5 ± 2.9 for HA and 14.5 ± 22.3 and 15.1 ± 17.1 for C7 and HA at the yearly resolution, respectively. Raw abundance and habitat-use patterns varied by species but significantly overlapped (Figure 1). At the start of the study, C7 dominated captures but HA increased until the mid-2000s, and then the two species appeared to undergo a cyclical switching off in dominance for the most recent 15 years of the study (Figure 1A). Both species were present in all habitats examined, although C7 was more commonly captured in wheat than HA, and HA was more common than C7 in all forest treatments (Figure 1B).

Multivariate analysis

Habitat-use patterns varied between the two species at both the yearly ($F_{1.322} = 14.25$, $R^2 = 0.04$, p = 0.001) and weekly ($F_{1.630} = 21.93$, $R^2 = 0.03$, p = 0.001) resolution. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (Figure 2) suggested a distinct clustering of spatiotemporal distribution between the species at both resolutions. Measures of precipitation and degree day accumulation were included in the best model for environmental drivers of the distribution of observations in both cases, suggesting these drivers both impacted within-season and between-season dynamics. At the yearly resolution, the final variables included in the environmental model were degree day accumulation at 35 weeks ($R^2 = 0.086$, p = 0.001), precipitation accumulation at 35 weeks ($R^2 = 0.064$, p = 0.001), and year (treated as a continuous variable; $R^2 = 0.025$, p = 0.017). At the weekly resolution, the environmental model included within-season degree day accumulation ($R^2 = 0.0328$, p = 0.001), within-season precipitation accumulation ($R^2 = 0.014$, p = 0.001), and year ($R^2 = 0.011$, p = 0.045). In both cases, year and precipitation accumulation plotted as nearly perfectly collinear but moving in opposite directions. The degree day accumulation factor plotted with a larger orthogonal



FIGURE 1 Raw trends in abundance of two adventive lady beetle species at Kellogg Biological Station in Southwestern Michigan, 1994–2020. (A) Abundance of adult lady beetles, captured per repetition treatment combination (ca. five traps per week). (B) The same data given by plant community treatment, aggregated over time. *Coccinella septempunctata* (C7) captures are given in red, *Harmonia axyridis* (HA) in orange. ES refers to the early successional vegetation treatment.

component, more closely corresponding to the axis of differentiation between the distributions of the two species, suggesting that while precipitation patterns are clearly changing at our study site, it is likely that temperature cues contribute more strongly to niche differentiation of these two species.



FIGURE 2 Nonmetric multidimensional scaling of habitat-use patterns by two adventive lady beetle species at Kellogg Biological Station in Southwestern Michigan, 1994–2020, at two temporal aggregations. (A) Yearly resolution, 2D stress = 0.211; (B) weekly resolution, 2D stress = 0.226. *Coccinella septempunctata* observations are given by red X, *Harmonia axyridis* observations are given by orange O. Centroids for the plant community sites where insects were captured are plotted on both ordinations. ES refers to the early successional vegetation treatment. Vectors for environmental variables explaining significant variation in the community are labeled on the plot: dd refers to degree day accumulation over the year, dd35 is degree day accumulation at 35 weeks of the year; similarly, precip is the precipitation accumulation over the year, and precip 35 is the accumulation at 35 weeks.

Within-season GAM model

The final model for within-season captures of lady beetles (-REML = 26,835, n = 27,432, deviance explained = 36.4%)included terms for the categorical variables of habitat, species, and the interaction between habitat and species as well as smoothing terms for degree day accumulation, maximum rainfall within the sampling week, maximum temperature within the sampling week, and year, each interacting with species (Figure 3; Appendix S1: Tables S1 and S2). The majority of within-season variation in both species was attributable to habitat of capture and degree day accumulation: The habitat and year model explained 25% of deviance in the data, the model with degree day accumulation added to these terms accounted for 33.1% of the deviation, and habitat alone without any covariates accounted for 14.9%. Both species exhibited strong, differential within-season responses to degree day accumulation (Figure 3A). Using the instantaneous rate of change of the line of best fit of our model parameterized using "average" environmental conditions in a single habitat (alfalfa), we predicted the approximate degree day accumulations where activity peaks occurred and magnitude of these peaks. The model predicted C7 captures ranging from 0 to 1.76 beetles per sample, with a mean capture rate of 0.77 beetles per sample. C7 had a large activity peak early in the season at approximately 550 degree days accumulated at an estimated 1.76 beetles per sample and a slight peak later in the season at an accumulation of 1210 degree days and approximately 0.41 beetles per sample. For HA, the model predicted a range of 0 to 1.60 beetles per sample, with a mean of 0.85. HA had a major late-season activity peak near 1250 degree days accumulated, with an estimated 1.55 beetles per sample, and populations remained high and growing thereafter, and we observed two lesser early-season activity peaks near 410 and 695 degree days, with predicted captures of 0.81 and 0.67 beetles per sample, respectively. Although model selection favored the inclusion of terms describing the maximum rainfall and temperature in the week observations were taken, these terms explained relatively little variation: Their addition increased the total deviance explained by the model to 36.4%. In both cases, the inclusion of these terms explained very little new variation for HA and suggested perhaps a slight increase in C7 activity in weeks that were moderately rainy (Figure 3B) or had warmer temperatures once the effect of degree day accumulation was accounted for (Figure 3C). Once other factors were controlled for in the model, residual habitat-use patterns between the two species became strikingly differential (Figure 3D): C7 was much more variable in habitat-use patterns. For example, in the perennial



FIGURE 3 Partial effects of terms included in a within-season generalized additive model for two adventive lady beetle species at Kellogg Biological Station in Southwestern Michigan, 1994–2020, using weekly observations of lady beetles captured in nine plant communities. (A) Within-season degree day accumulation (base 10°C); (B) maximum rainfall observed within sampling week (mm); (C) maximum temperature observed during sampling week (°C); (D) residual habitat effects; and (E) remaining year-to-year population variation. *Coccinella septempunctata* (C7) effects are given in red, *Harmonia axyridis* (HA) in orange. ES refers to the early successional vegetation treatment.

cropland habitats (alfalfa, early successional vegetation, and poplar plantations), the model predicted 1.76 captures of C7 per sample in alfalfa, 1.31 captures in early successional vegetation, to essentially no capture of this species in poplar plantations at its activity peak. Under these same conditions, the model predicted 0.65, 0.49, and 0.24 captures of HA in alfalfa, early successional vegetation, and poplar plantations, respectively. For these same habitats at the HA activity peak, the model predicted 0.38 captures of C7 in alfalfa and essentially none in the other habitats, while HA captures were predicted to be 1.54, 1.38, and 1.13 for each habitat, respectively. Finally, similarly, year-to-year dynamics of both species still contributed considerable variation to the captures (Figure 3E).

Between-year model

In the examination of between-year dynamics of the two species, model selection favored GAMs with three environmental parameters for both species (Appendix S1: Table S3), with none of these factors overlapping between models (Appendix S1: Table S4). The C7 model explained more variation in the year-to-year abundance of this species (-REML = 1905, n = 1353, deviance explained = 79.0%) than the model for HA abundance (-REML = 1855, n = 1353, deviance explained = 67.3%).In general, relationships between HA and environmental parameters were slight, and HA abundance peaked near the mean values of each of the three environmental parameters included in the model (Figure 4), suggesting that, although HA is not affected strongly by environmental conditions, it generally is most abundant in years when conditions are near the mean. C7 was observed to have both stronger responses to environmental conditions but was also more negatively associated with mean conditions, that is, having higher numbers in years associated with cool early summer conditions and warmer, drier conditions late in the growing season. A spurious peak was observed for very low degree day accumulations at 35 weeks in the C7 model (Figure 4): This peak was driven by a single observation at the extreme of the distribution for this parameter (Appendix S1: Figure S2).

DISCUSSION

Following their establishment and spread within North America, *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* have been commonly collected within similar environments, but how these species are able to coexist is poorly

understood. In this study, we used a 31-year data set from an agricultural landscape in southwestern Michigan, USA, to understand the mechanisms of coexistence among these dominant species. We found evidence of long-term coexistence, as both species were collected in similar abundance overall and found in all habitat types. Our findings indicated that a combination of small-scale niche partitioning via environmental, habitat, and seasonal mechanisms contributed to coexistence among these species. Although net populations of species observed over the course of the study were nearly identical, populations of *C. septempunctata* were more variable. Within the midwestern US, H. axyridis seems to have broader habitat and abiotic environmental preferences, while C. septempunctata tends to thrive under more specific ecological conditions that are not the average for this region.

Following the arrival and establishment of H. axyridis within the region, these two species experienced patterns of cyclical dominance in abundance across years, suggesting there is some degree of overlap in their niches. This finding aligns with research documenting overlap in preferences for aphid prey among the two species (Hodek & Michaud, 2008; Koch, 2003; Roy et al., 2016) as well as evidence of antagonistic competitive interactions in some environments (Gagnon et al., 2011; Snyder, 2009). These patterns of between-year partitioning may suggest coexistence via the storage effect. The storage effect hypothesis posits that competitors may coexist if overlapping generations experience temporal fluctuations in the recruitment of individuals due to species-specific responses to the environment (Chesson, 2000b; Chesson & Huntly, 1997). Yearly fluctuations in environmental conditions may have differentially benefited one species over the other, resulting in patterns of alternating dominance. Environmental fluctuations may have directly impacted these lady beetles through differential species' preferences or indirectly via changes in prey populations.

Although we were unable to distinguish between direct and indirect mechanisms, populations of *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* responded differentially to precipitation and temperature. Abundance of *C. septempunctata* was negatively associated with means of several environmental metrics since populations were more successful in years characterized by cooler temperatures early in the growing season as well as warmer temperatures and drier conditions in late summer. In contrast, *H. axyridis* was not strongly affected and was generally most abundant in years when temperatures and the amount of precipitation were near the mean. *H. axyridis* has a broad global distribution and can be found at a range of altitudes but is generally more abundant in cool, mesic climates and less common in the



FIGURE 4 Partial effects of environmental parameters from a between-season generalized additive model for two adventive lady beetle species at Kellogg Biological Station in Southwestern Michigan, 1994–2020. Each species was modeled and subjected to model selection separately. *Coccinella septempunctata* model effects are given in red, *Harmonia axyridis* in orange. Models included term for between-habitat variation.

tropics (Roy et al., 2016). However, this species typically overwinters in aggregations in hibernacula such as buildings and under tree bark, which may buffer the effects of cold temperatures to some extent (Roy et al., 2016). In contrast, *C. septempunctata* has been observed overwintering in the soil and leaf litter layers of forests and tree margins (Turnock & Wise, 2004), suggesting that cool-weather tolerance in this species may contribute to differential success within a given year. Cooler conditions in early summer may favor *C. septempunctata* via temperature dependence in foraging rates. Although both species have similar prey attack rates at 26° C (Xue et al., 2009), attack rates on aphids were at their highest at lower temperatures (around 20° C) for *C. septempunctata* (Khan & Khan, 2010). Meanwhile, predation rates by *H. axyridis* increased with increasing temperature up to 35° C and were markedly decreased below 25° C (Islam et al., 2021). Both species had positive associations with warmer-than-average temperatures in mid or late summer, which could be associated with temperature-modified activity of prey aphids (Crossley et al., 2022). Within this region of the midwestern US, direct and/or indirect responses of *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* to temperature and precipitation have contributed to niche differences and cyclical patterns of dominance over time.

Within an average year, the population dynamics of H. axyridis and C. septempunctata showed differing responses to seasonal change, as abundances of each species peaked at different times during the growing season. Both species responded strongly to degree day accumulation, with the abundance of C. septempunctata peaking earlier, whereas H. axyridis became more abundant later in the growing season. This finding contradicts predictions that high overwintering survival of H. axyridis would lead to larger populations in the spring than C. septempunctata (Raak-van den Berg et al., 2012). The differing phenological responses likely allow these two competitors to locally coexist on short time scales by creating temporal niche differences. Differences in phenology influence when and at which developmental stage species interactions occur and, thus, patterns of coexistence (Blackford et al., 2020; Rudolf, 2019). Both H. axyridis and C. septempunctata require aphid prey to some degree to successfully develop and reproduce (Berkvens et al., 2008; Hodek & Michaud, 2008; Zaviezo et al., 2019) such that their phenology may be strongly tied to thresholds in prey resources. For example, at low aphid densities, reproduction of H. axyridis did not occur or was very low in the laboratory compared with at high aphid densities (Zaviezo et al., 2019). In Europe, where C. septempunctata is native, this species exploits immigrating aphid populations in cereals beginning in May until the crop matures in July (Honek et al., 2019), suggesting a tight association with this prey resource. In contrast, the breeding period of H. axyridis is greatly extended, and populations will continue to feed and grow into late summer, risking incomplete development of later generations (Honek et al., 2018). Responses of H. axyridis to populations of soybean aphid suggest that late-season abundance was linked to prey availability early in the growing season (Bahlai, vander Werf, et al., 2015).

Although phenological differences among species may promote local coexistence through increased niche differences (i.e., stabilizing mechanisms) (Albrecht & Gotelli, 2001), it is also possible that greater phenological differences can lead to increased fitness differences (i.e., equalizing mechanisms) that promote competitive exclusion (Blackford et al., 2020; Godoy & Levine, 2014). For instance, in years where *C. septempunctata* activity is favored early in the growing season, this species may deplete local prey resources (Bianchi & Van der Werf, 2004), negatively impacting early generations of *H. axyridis*.

of abundance of H. axyridis and Patterns C. septempunctata varied among plant communities, indicating spatial niche partitioning among habitat types. Abundance of C. septempunctata was highest in soybean, wheat, and alfalfa, which suggests a habitat preference for these crop types. Other studies reported apparent associations between C. septempunctata and various herbaceous crop environments, including cereals (Honek et al., 2014, 2019), potato (Alvokhin & Sewell, 2004), alfalfa, and maize (Elliott et al., 1996). Abundance of H. axyridis was more consistent across all plant communities, which aligns with studies that identified this exotic species as having a broad habitat range that includes agricultural fields, orchards, vineyards, parks, and residential yards and gardens (Adriaens et al., 2008; Koch, 2003; Roy et al., 2016). Although H. axyridis did not show a preference for a particular habitat type, the species was more abundant than C. septempunctata in forests. Populations of H. axvridis have been commonly reported in arboreal habitats in Europe (Adriaens et al., 2008; Honek et al., 2014; Panigaj et al., 2014; Vandereycken et al., 2012), wherein their abundance was found to be 7.5 times higher on trees than other herbaceous plants, including crops (Honek et al., 2019). Larvae of H. axyridis are morphologically adapted for exploiting canopy environments compared to C. septempunctata due to their welldeveloped anal disc for adhering to plant surfaces (Eigenbrode et al., 2009).

Although C. septempunctata displayed clear preferences for crops, H. axyridis was also collected in these habitats. There is some evidence that when these two species overlap in habitat, they partition resources at finer spatial scales. For example, C. septempunctata did not change its habitat-use patterns on apple trees in the presence of H. axyridis; instead, these species limited niche overlap by vertically partitioning resources (Lucas et al., 2002). Moreover, C. septempunctata has been shown to remain in preferred habitats such as alfalfa and soybean for extended periods, whereas H. axyridis frequently disperses among habitats especially later in the growing season (Forbes & Gratton, 2011). Lady beetle activity and, thus, the interactions between these two dominant species were strongly related to temperatures via daily degree day accumulation and, to a lesser extent, precipitation accumulation, suggesting climate change may alter these coexistence mechanisms. Phenological responses of species and the seasonal timing of interactions are not static but vary yearly with environmental conditions (Rudolf, 2019; Singer & Parmesan, 2010). Climate change is causing gradual increases in temperature on a global scale as well

as altered patterns of precipitation (IPCC, 2014). Warmer temperatures affect lady beetles directly by increasing metabolism and rates of egg and larval development, but they also require higher rates of prey consumption (Banfield-Zanin & Leather, 2016; Speights & Barton, 2019). Above a heat stress threshold, increased temperatures may cause high mortality of eggs, larvae, and some adults (Acar et al., 2001; Knapp & Nedvěd, 2013). Prey responses to climate change also are complex and can be affected by physiological tolerances and host plant quality, which may indirectly affect interactions with lady beetles (Honek et al., 2017; Sloggett, 2021). Responses of aphids are often species-specific, but warming temperatures and milder winters generally shift aphid phenology such that early-season flight activity occurs sooner in the season (Bell et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2020). Under laboratory simulated drought conditions, aphids tended to be less nutritious and smaller in size, requiring higher consumption rates by lady beetles to meet dietary requirements (Banfield-Zanin & Leather, 2016). Therefore, climate change may lead to directional shifts in phenology and timing of trophic interactions such that synchrony of predator-prey interactions may be disrupted, with implications for biological control. Adding complexity, continued promotion of landscape simplification rather than diversification may interact with climate change to influence coexistence outcomes among lady beetle species (Schulte et al., 2021). Opportunistic generalist species such as H. axyridis may be well adapted to respond to environmental shifts, providing a competitive advantage.

Coexistence of these dominant exotic lady beetle species may be further affected by other mechanisms that were not investigated in this study such as differential predation and parasitism. For example, the enemy release hypothesis posits that exotic species will experience reduced top-down control from natural enemies in their introduced range, leading to successful establishment and spread (Colautti et al., 2004; Keane & Crawley, 2002; Roy et al., 2011; Shea & Chesson, 2002). For instance, there is evidence that H. axyridis is less susceptible to the hymenopteran parasitoid Dinocampus coccinellae (Schrank) that commonly parasitizes C. septempunctata in Europe (Berkvens, Moens, et al., 2010; Comont et al., 2014; Geoghegan et al., 1998). These effects may manifest at the population level and, furthermore, would likely interact with the habitat and environmental partitioning observed in this study.

In this study, we used trap captures as a proximal measure to represent the dynamics of the species under examination. These traps more meaningfully capture the activity density of lady beetles: insects moving through the environment that are drawn to or collide with traps. It is important to note that, although this trapping method is advantageous in this context because it is inexpensive and relatively easy to deploy in a consistent way over years of sampling, sticky cards are also prone to inherent biases. For instance, yellow sticky cards may have differential attractiveness to different species of ladybeetle (Musser et al., 2004) and, like many trapping methods, may have differing capture efficiencies depending on the habitats in which they are deployed (Missa et al., 2009).

Successful establishment and spread of exotic species are influenced by a variety of factors, including local niche processes and interactions among species within the native community. Although research has largely examined biological invasions in the context of their impacts on native species, communities are frequently invaded by multiple exotic species that then interact with each other to influence establishment success. Exotic lady beetles H. axyridis and C. septempunctata were introduced into North America for biological control in agricultural systems (Evans, 2009; Obrycki & Kring, 1998; Rondoni et al., 2021), and these species have since become dominant within many native communities. Research has documented asymmetric competitive interactions in favor of H. axyridis, which suggests other forms of niche partitioning contribute to coexistence among these dominant invaders. Using a 31-year data set from southwestern Michigan, USA, we documented evidence of long-term coexistence of these exotic lady beetle species via temporal and spatial niche partitioning occurring within and across years. Our findings indicated that within this region, H. axvridis has broader habitat and abiotic environmental preferences, whereas C. septempunctata thrives under more specific ecological conditions. Ecological differences among these exotic species have promoted coexistence through environmental, seasonal, and habitat niche partitioning within agricultural landscapes in the midwestern United States. Understanding mechanisms that allow for the coexistence of dominant exotic species contributes to native biodiversity conservation in the face of global change.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This manuscript was prepared by students in Christie A. Bahlai's Reproducible Quantitative Methods graduate class at Kent State University. The Mozilla Foundation supported Christie A. Bahlai during the development of this course. We thank Lama Tawk for leading a discussion of coexistence theory in class. Support for this research was provided by National Science Foundation Grants OAC 1838807 and DBI 2045721 to Christie A. Bahlai, NSF Long-term Ecological Research Program (DEB 1832042) at the Kellogg Biological Station, and Michigan State University AgBioResearch. Douglas A. Landis acknowledges support from the Great Lakes

Bioenergy Research Center, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research (Award DE-SC0018409). The long-term lady beetle experiment at KBS-LTER was initiated in 1989 by Stuart Gage and Manuel Colunga-Garcia. We sincerely thank the ongoing efforts of the research staff supporting the long-term study, in particular Stacey Van Der Wulp, Sven Bohm, Julia Perrone, Elizabeth D'Auria, and countless undergraduate assistants over the project's history. Two reviewers provided helpful suggestions that improved the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Code and data are available in Zenodo at https://doi.org/ 10.5281/zenodo.7484657 (Bahlai et al., 2022). Organismal data sets (Landis, 2020) and weather data (Robertson, 2020) utilized for this research are mirrored within our Zenodo repository as CSV files.

ORCID

Kayla I. Perry https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9903-8057 *Christie A. Bahlai* https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8937-8709

REFERENCES

- Acar, E. B., B. N. Smith, L. D. Hansen, and G. M. Booth. 2001. "Use of Calorespirometry to Determine Effects of Temperature on Metabolic Efficiency of an Insect." *Environmental Entomology* 30: 811–6.
- Adriaens, T., G. San Martin y Gomez, and D. Maes. 2008. "Invasion History, Habitat Preferences and Phenology of the Invasive Ladybird Harmonia axyridis in Belgium." In From Biological Control to Invasion: The Ladybird Harmonia axyridis as a Model Species, edited by H. E. Roy and E. Wajnberg, 69–88. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Albrecht, M., and N. J. Gotelli. 2001. "Spatial and Temporal Niche Partitioning in Grassland Ants." *Oecologia* 126: 134–41.
- Alyokhin, A., and G. Sewell. 2004. "Changes in a Lady Beetle Community Following the Establishment of Three Alien Species." *Biological Invasions* 6: 463–71.
- Bahlai, C., K. Smart, M. Back, P. Ghimire, O. Obarein, M. Arnold, N. Farooq, E. VanderJeugdt, M. Crowell, and T. Taucher. 2022. "ReproducibleQM/space_invader: Arnold Ecology Paper (v1.0.0)." Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7484657.
- Bahlai, C. A., M. Colunga-Garcia, S. H. Gage, and D. A. Landis. 2013. "Long Term Functional Dynamics of an Aphidophagous Coccinellid Community Are Unchanged in Response to Repeated Invasion." *PLoS One* 8: e83407.
- Bahlai, C. A., M. Colunga-Garcia, S. H. Gage, and D. A. Landis. 2015. "The Role of Exotic Ladybeetles in the Decline of Native Ladybeetle Populations: Evidence from Long-Term Monitoring." *Biological Invasions* 17: 1005–24.

- Bahlai, C. A., W. vander Werf, M. O'Neal, L. Hemerik, and D. A. Landis. 2015. "Shifts in Dynamic Regime of an Invasive Lady Beetle Are Linked to the Invasion and Insecticidal Management of its Prey." *Ecological Applications* 25: 1807–18.
- Banfield-Zanin, J. A., and S. R. Leather. 2016. "Prey-Mediated Effects of Drought on the Consumption Rates of Coccinellid Predators of *Elatobium abietinum*." *Insects* 7: 49.
- Bell, J. R., L. Alderson, D. Izera, T. Kruger, S. Parker, J. Pickup, C. R. Shortall, M. S. Taylor, P. Verrier, and R. Harrington. 2015. "Long-Term Phenological Trends, Species Accumulation Rates, Aphid Traits and Climate: Five Decades of Change in Migrating Aphids." *Journal of Animal Ecology* 84: 21–34.
- Berkvens, N., J. Bonte, D. Berkvens, K. Deforce, L. Tirry, and P. De Clercq. 2008. "Pollen as an Alternative Food for Harmonia axyridis." In From Biological Control to Invasion: The Ladybird Harmonia axyridis as a Model Species, edited by H. E. Roy and E. Wajnberg, 201–10. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Berkvens, N., C. Landuyt, K. Deforce, D. Berkvens, L. Tirry, and P. De Clercq. 2010. "Alternative Foods for the Multicoloured Asian Lady Beetle Harmonia axyridis (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)." European Journal of Entomology 107: 189–95.
- Berkvens, N., J. Moens, D. Berkvens, M. A. Samih, L. Tirry, and P. De Clercq. 2010. "Dinocampus coccinellae as a Parasitoid of the Invasive Ladybird Harmonia axyridis in Europe." Biological Control 53: 92–9.
- Bianchi, F. J. J. A., and W. Van der Werf. 2004. "Model Evaluation of the Function of Prey in Non-crop Habitats for Biological Control by Ladybeetles in Agricultural Landscapes." *Ecological Modelling* 171: 177–93.
- Blackburn, T. M., P. Pyšek, S. Bacher, J. T. Carlton, R. P. Duncan,
 V. Jarošík, J. R. U. Wilson, and D. M. Richardson. 2011.
 "A Proposed Unified Framework for Biological Invasions." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 26: 333–9.
- Blackford, C., R. M. Germain, and B. Gilbert. 2020. "Species Differences in Phenology Shape Coexistence." *The American Naturalist* 195: E168–80.
- Boettner, G. H., J. S. Elkinton, and C. J. Boettner. 2000. "Effects of a Biological Control Introduction on Three Nontarget Native Species of Saturniid Moths." *Conservation Biology* 14: 1798–806.
- Bradshaw, C. J. A., B. Leroy, C. Bellard, D. Roiz, C. Albert, A. Fournier, M. Barbet-Massin, J.-M. Salles, F. Simard, and F. Courchamp. 2016. "Massive Yet Grossly Underestimated Global Costs of Invasive Insects." *Nature Communications* 7: 12986.
- Cheng, J., P. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhan, and Y. Liu. 2020. "Quantitative Assessment of the Contribution of Environmental Factors to Divergent Population Trends in Two Lady Beetles." *Biological Control* 145: 104259.
- Chesson, P. 2000a. "Mechanisms of Maintenance of Species Diversity." *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 31: 343–66.
- Chesson, P. 2000b. "General Theory of Competitive Coexistence in Spatially-Varying Environments." *Theoretical Population Biology* 58: 211–37.
- Chesson, P., and N. Huntly. 1997. "The Roles of Harsh and Fluctuating Conditions in the Dynamics of Ecological Communities." *The American Naturalist* 150: 519–53.

- Colautti, R. I., A. Ricciardi, I. A. Grigorovich, and H. J. MacIsaac. 2004. "Is Invasion Success Explained by the Enemy Release Hypothesis?" *Ecology Letters* 7: 721–33.
- Colunga-Garcia, M., and S. H. Gage. 1998. "Arrival, Establishment, and Habitat Use of the Multicolored Asian Lady Beetle (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in a Michigan Landscape." *Environmental Entomology* 27: 1574–80.
- Colunga-Garcia, M., S. H. Gage, and D. A. Landis. 1997. "Response of an Assemblage of Coccinellidae (Coleoptera) to a Diverse Agricultural Landscape." *Environmental Entomology* 26: 797–804.
- Comont, R. F., B. V. Purse, W. Phillips, W. E. Kunin, M. Hanson, O. T. Lewis, R. Harrington, C. R. Shortall, G. Rondoni, and H. E. Roy. 2014. "Escape from Parasitism by the Invasive Alien Ladybird, *Harmonia axyridis.*" *Insect Conservation and Diversity* 7: 334–42.
- Crossley, M. S., D. Lagos-Kutz, T. S. Davis, S. D. Eigenbrode, G. L. Hartman, D. J. Voegtlin, and W. E. Snyder. 2022.
 "Precipitation Change Accentuates or Reverses Temperature Effects on Aphid Dispersal." *Ecological Applications* 32: e2593.
- Eigenbrode, S. D., W. E. Snyder, G. Clevenger, H. Ding, and S. N. Gorb. 2009. "Variable Attachment to Plant Surface Waxes by Predatory Insects." In *Functional Surfaces in Biology: Adhesion Related Phenomena Volume 2*, edited by S. N. Gorb, 157–81. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Elliott, N., R. Kieckhefer, and W. Kauffman. 1996. "Effects of an Invading Coccinellid on Native Coccinellids in an Agricultural Landscape." *Oecologia* 105: 537–44.
- Evans, E. W. 2004. "Habitat Displacement of North American Ladybirds by an Introduced Species." *Ecology* 85: 637–47.
- Evans, E. W. 2009. "Lady Beetles as Predators of Insects Other than Hemiptera." *Biological Control* 51: 255–67.
- Forbes, K. J., and C. Gratton. 2011. "Stable Isotopes Reveal Different Patterns of Inter-Crop Dispersal in Two Ladybeetle Species." *Ecological Entomology* 36: 396–400.
- Gagnon, A.-È., G. E. Heimpel, and J. Brodeur. 2011. "The Ubiquity of Intraguild Predation among Predatory Arthropods." *PLoS One* 6: e28061.
- Gandhi, K. J. K., and D. A. Herms. 2010. "Direct and Indirect Effects of Alien Insect Herbivores on Ecological Processes and Interactions in Forests of Eastern North America." *Biological Invasions* 12: 389–405.
- Gardiner, M. M., K. I. Perry, C. B. Riley, K. J. Turo, Y. A. Delgado de la flor, and F. S. Sivakoff. 2021. "Community Science Data Suggests that Urbanization and Forest Habitat Loss Threaten Aphidophagous Native Lady Beetles." *Ecology and Evolution* 11: 2761–74.
- Geoghegan, I. E., T. M. O. Majerus, and M. E. Majerus. 1998. "Differential Parasitisation of Adult and Pre-Imaginal Coccinella septempunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) by Dinocampus coccinellae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae)." European Journal of Entomology 95: 571–9.
- Godoy, O. 2019. "Coexistence Theory as a Tool to Understand Biological Invasions in Species Interaction Networks: Implications for the Study of Novel Ecosystems." *Functional Ecology* 33: 1190–201.
- Godoy, O., and J. M. Levine. 2014. "Phenology Effects on Invasion Success: Insights from Coupling Field Experiments to Coexistence Theory." *Ecology* 95: 726–36.

- Grez, A. A., T. A. Rand, T. Zaviezo, and F. Castillo-Serey. 2013. "Land Use Intensification Differentially Benefits Alien over Native Predators in Agricultural Landscape Mosaics." *Diversity* and Distributions 19: 749–59.
- Harmon, J. P., E. Stephens, and J. Losey. 2007. "The Decline of Native Coccinellids (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in the United States and Canada." In *Beetle Conservation*, edited by T. R. New, 85–94. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Hermann, S. L., S. Xue, L. Rowe, E. Davidson-Lowe, A. Myers, B. Eshchanov, and C. A. Bahlai. 2016. "Thermally Moderated Firefly Activity Is Delayed by Precipitation Extremes." *Royal Society Open Science* 3: 160712.
- HilleRisLambers, J., P. B. Adler, W. S. Harpole, J. M. Levine, and M. M. Mayfield. 2012. "Rethinking Community Assembly through the Lens of Coexistence Theory." *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 43: 227–48.
- Hironori, Y., and S. Katsuhiro. 1997. "Cannibalism and Interspecific Predation in Two Predatory Ladybirds in Relation to Prey Abundance in the Field." *Entomophaga* 42: 153–63.
- Hodek, I., and J. P. Michaud. 2008. "Why Is Coccinella septempunctata So Successful? (A Point-of-View)." European Journal of Entomology 105: 1–12.
- Holway, D. A., L. Lach, A. V. Suarez, N. D. Tsutsui, and T. J. Case. 2002. "The Causes and Consequences of Ant Invasions." *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 33: 181–233.
- Honek, A., A. F. Dixon, A. O. Soares, J. Skuhrovec, and Z. Martinkova. 2017. "Spatial and Temporal Changes in the Abundance and Composition of Ladybird (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) Communities." *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 20: 61–7.
- Honek, A., Z. Martinkova, A. F. G. Dixon, J. Skuhrovec, H. E. Roy, M. Brabec, and S. Pekar. 2018. "Life Cycle of *Harmonia axyridis* in Central Europe." *BioControl* 63: 241–52.
- Honek, A., Z. Martinkova, P. Kindlmann, O. M. C. C. Ameixa, and A. F. G. Dixon. 2014. "Long-Term Trends in the Composition of Aphidophagous Coccinellid Communities in Central Europe." *Insect Conservation and Diversity* 7: 55–63.
- Honek, A., Z. Martinkova, H. E. Roy, A. F. G. Dixon, J. Skuhrovec, S. Pekár, and M. Brabec. 2019. "Differences in the Phenology of *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and Native Coccinellids in Central Europe." *Environmental Entomology* 48: 80–7.
- Hunsicker, M. E., C. V. Kappel, K. A. Selkoe, B. S. Halpern, C. Scarborough, L. Mease, and A. Amrhein. 2016.
 "Characterizing Driver–Response Relationships in Marine Pelagic Ecosystems for Improved Ocean Management." *Ecological Applications* 26: 651–63. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-2200.
- IPCC. 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva: IPCC.
- Islam, Y., F. M. Shah, X. Rubing, M. Razaq, M. Yabo, L. Xihong, and X. Zhou. 2021. "Functional Response of *Harmonia* axyridis Preying on Acyrthosiphon pisum Nymphs: The Effect of Temperature." Scientific Reports 11(1): 1–13.
- Jeschke, J. M. 2014. "General Hypotheses in Invasion Ecology." Diversity and Distributions 20: 1229–34.
- Keane, R. M., and M. J. Crawley. 2002. "Exotic Plant Invasions and the Enemy Release Hypothesis." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17: 164–70.

- Kenis, M., M.-A. Auger-Rozenberg, A. Roques, L. Timms, C. Péré, M. J. W. Cock, J. Settele, S. Augustin, and C. Lopez-Vaamonde. 2009. "Ecological Effects of Invasive Alien Insects." *Biological Invasions* 11: 21–45.
- Khan, M. R., and M. R. Khan. 2010. "The Relationship between Temperature and the Functional Response of *Coccinella septempunctata* (L.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)." *Pakistan Journal of Zoology* 42(4): 461–6.
- Klooster, W. S., K. J. K. Gandhi, L. C. Long, K. I. Perry, K. B. Rice, and D. A. Herms. 2018. "Ecological Impacts of Emerald Ash Borer in Forests at the Epicenter of the Invasion in North America." *Forests* 9: 250.
- Knapp, M., and O. Nedvěd. 2013. "Gender and Timing during Ontogeny Matter: Effects of a Temporary High Temperature on Survival, Body Size and Colouration in *Harmonia axyridis*." *PLoS One* 8: e74984.
- Koch, R. L. 2003. "The Multicolored Asian Lady Beetle, Harmonia axyridis: A Review of its Biology, Uses in Biological Control, and Non-target Impacts." Journal of Insect Science 3: 32.
- Landis, D. 2020. "Insect Population Dynamics on the Main Cropping System Experiment at the Kellogg Biological Station, Hickory Corners, MI (1989 to 2019) ver 30." Environmental Data Initiative. https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/ f0776c1574808b08c484c1f7645a7357.
- Leppanen, C., A. Alyokhin, and S. Gross. 2012. "Competition for Aphid Prey between Different Lady Beetle Species in a Laboratory Arena." *Psyche* 2012: 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1155/ 2012/890327.
- Levine, J. M., and C. M. D'Antonio. 1999. "Elton Revisited: A Review of Evidence Linking Diversity and Invasibility." *Oikos* 87: 15–26.
- Liebhold, A. M., W. L. MacDonald, D. Bergdahl, and V. C. Mastro. 1995. "Invasion by Exotic Forest Pests: A Threat to Forest Ecosystems." *Forest Science* 41: a0001–z0001.
- Lockwood, J. L., M. F. Hoopes, and M. P. Marchetti. 2013. *Invasion Ecology*. West Sussex, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Louda, S. M., D. Kendall, J. Connor, and D. Simberloff. 1997. "Ecological Effects of an Insect Introduced for the Biological Control of Weeds." *Science* 277: 1088–90.
- Lucas, É., I. Gagné, and D. Coderre. 2002. "Impact of the Arrival of Harmonia axyridis on Adults of Coccinella septempunctata and Coleomegilla maculata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)." European Journal of Entomology 99: 457–63.
- Maredia, K., S. Gage, D. Landis, and T. Wirth. 1992. "Ecological Observations on Predatory Coccinellidae (Coleoptera) in Southwestern Michigan." *The Great Lakes Entomologist* 25: 265–70.
- Marra, G., and S. N. Wood. 2011. "Practical Variable Selection for Generalized Additive Models." Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 55: 2372–87.
- Missa, O., Y. Basset, A. Alonso, S. E. Miller, G. Curletti, M. De Meyer, C. Eardley, M. W. Mansell, and T. Wagner. 2009. "Monitoring Arthropods in a Tropical Landscape: Relative Effects of Sampling Methods and Habitat Types on Trap Catches." *Journal of Insect Conservation* 13: 103–18.
- Morin, R. S., A. M. Liebhold, P. C. Tobin, K. W. Gottschalk, and E. Luzader. 2007. "Spread of Beech Bark Disease in the Eastern United States and its Relationship to Regional Forest Composition." *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 37: 726–36.

- Musser, F. R., J. P. Nyrop, and A. M. Shelton. 2004. "Survey of Predators and Sampling Method Comparison in Sweet Corn." *Journal of Economic Entomology* 97: 136–44. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/jee/97.1.136.
- Obrycki, J. J., and T. J. Kring. 1998. "Predaceous Coccinellidae in Biological Control." *Annual Review of Entomology* 43: 295–321.
- Oksanen, J., F. G. Blanchet, R. Kindt, M. J. Oksanen, and M. Suggests. 2013. "Package 'vegan'." Community Ecology Package Version. 2: 0–0. https://cran.r-project.org/web/ packages/vegan/index.html.
- Panigaj, L., P. Zach, A. Honěk, O. Nedvěd, J. Kulfan, Z. Martinková, D. Selyemová, S. Viglášová, and H. E. Roy. 2014. "The Invasion History, Distribution and Colour Pattern Forms of the Harlequin Ladybird Beetle *Harmonia axyridis* (Pall.) (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae) in Slovakia, Central Europe." Zookeys 412: 89–112.
- Pell, J. K., J. Baverstock, H. E. Roy, R. L. Ware, and M. E. N. Majerus. 2008. "Intraguild Predation Involving *Harmonia axyridis*: A Review of Current Knowledge and Future Perspectives." *BioControl* 53: 147–68.
- Pyšek, P., P. E. Hulme, D. Simberloff, S. Bacher, T. M. Blackburn, J. T. Carlton, W. Dawson, et al. 2020. "Scientists' Warning on Invasive Alien Species." *Biological Reviews* 95: 1511–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12627.
- R Development Core Team. 2017. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing 3.3.3.* Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Raak-van den Berg, C. L., J. M. Stam, P. W. de Jong, L. Hemerik, and J. C. van Lenteren. 2012. "Winter Survival of *Harmonia* axyridis in The Netherlands." *Biological Control* 60: 68–76.
- Ricciardi, A. 2007. "Are Modern Biological Invasions an Unprecedented Form of Global Change?" *Conservation Biology* 21: 329–36.
- Ricciardi, A., M. F. Hoopes, M. P. Marchetti, and J. L. Lockwood. 2013. "Progress toward Understanding the Ecological Impacts of Nonnative Species." *Ecological Monographs* 83: 263–82.
- Robertson, G. 2020. "LTER Meteorological Stations at the Kellogg Biological Station, Hickory Corners, MI (1988 to 2020) ver 107." Environmental Data Initiative. https://doi.org/10.6073/ pasta/4c30523bae14c4340e4d9c90e72f90c4.
- Rondoni, G., I. Borges, J. Collatz, E. Conti, A. C. Costamagna, F. Dumont, E. W. Evans, et al. 2021. "Exotic Ladybirds for Biological Control of Herbivorous Insects – A Review." *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 169: 6–27.
- Roy, H. E., P. M. J. Brown, T. Adriaens, N. Berkvens, I. Borges, S. Clusella-Trullas, R. F. Comont, et al. 2016. "The Harlequin Ladybird, *Harmonia axyridis*: Global Perspectives on Invasion History and Ecology." *Biological Invasions* 18: 997–1044.
- Roy, H. E., L. J. Lawson Handley, K. Schönrogge, R. L. Poland, and B. V. Purse. 2011. "Can the Enemy Release Hypothesis Explain the Success of Invasive Alien Predators and Parasitoids?" *BioControl* 56: 451–68.
- Rudolf, V. H. W. 2019. "The Role of Seasonal Timing and Phenological Shifts for Species Coexistence." *Ecology Letters* 22: 1324–38.
- Schaefer, P. W., R. J. Dysart, and H. B. Specht. 1987. "North American Distribution of *Coccinella septempunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and its Mass Appearance in Coastal Delaware." *Environmental Entomology* 16: 368–73.

- Schulte, L. A., B. E. Dale, S. Bozzetto, M. Liebman, G. M. Souza, N. Haddad, T. L. Richard, et al. 2021. "Meeting Global Challenges with Regenerative Agriculture Producing Food and Energy." *Nature Sustainability* 5: 384–8.
- Seebens, H., T. M. Blackburn, E. E. Dyer, P. Genovesi, P. E. Hulme, J. M. Jeschke, S. Pagad, et al. 2017. "No Saturation in the Accumulation of Alien Species Worldwide." *Nature Communications* 8: 14435.
- Sethuraman, A., F. J. Janzen, M. A. Rubio, Y. Vasquez, and J. J. Obrycki. 2018. "Demographic Histories of Three Predatory Lady Beetles Reveal Complex Patterns of Diversity and Population Size Change in the United States." *Insect Science* 25: 1065–79.
- Shea, K., and P. Chesson. 2002. "Community Ecology Theory as a Framework for Biological Invasions." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17: 170–6.
- Singer, M. C., and C. Parmesan. 2010. "Phenological Asynchrony between Herbivorous Insects and their Hosts: Signal of Climate Change or Pre-Existing Adaptive Strategy?" *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 365: 3161–76.
- Sloggett, J. J. 2021. "Aphidophagous Ladybirds (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and Climate Change: A Review." Insect Conservation and Diversity 14: 709–22.
- Smith, C. A., and M. M. Gardiner. 2013. "Biodiversity Loss Following the Introduction of Exotic Competitors: Does Intraguild Predation Explain the Decline of Native Lady Beetles?" *PLoS One* 8: e84448.
- Snyder, W. E. 2009. "Coccinellids in Diverse Communities: Which Niche Fits?" *Biological Control* 51: 323–35.
- Snyder, W. E., G. M. Clevenger, and S. D. Eigenbrode. 2004. "Intraguild Predation and Successful Invasion by Introduced Ladybird Beetles." *Oecologia* 140: 559–65.
- Speights, C. J., and B. T. Barton. 2019. "Timing Is Everything: Effects of Day and Night Warming on Predator Functional Traits." *Food Webs* 21: e00130.
- Thomas, A. P., J. Trotman, A. Wheatley, A. Aebi, R. Zindel, and P. M. J. Brown. 2013. "Predation of Native Coccinellids by the Invasive Alien *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): Detection in Britain by PCR-Based Gut Analysis." *Insect Conservation and Diversity* 6: 20–7.
- Turnock, W. J., and I. L. Wise. 2004. "Density and Survival of Lady Beetles (Coccinellidae) in Overwintering Sites in Manitoba." *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 118: 309–17.
- Vandereycken, A., D. Durieux, É. Joie, É. Haubruge, and F. Verheggen. 2012. "Habitat Diversity of the Multicolored Asian Ladybeetle Harmonia axyridis Pallas (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in Agricultural and Arboreal Ecosystems: A Review." Biotechnologie, Agronomie, Société et Environnement 16: 553–63.
- Vilà, M., J. L. Espinar, M. Hejda, P. E. Hulme, V. Jarošík, J. L. Maron, J. Pergl, U. Schaffner, Y. Sun, and P. Pyšek. 2011.

"Ecological Impacts of Invasive Alien Plants: A Meta-Analysis of their Effects on Species, Communities and Ecosystems." *Ecology Letters* 14: 702–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248. 2011.01628.x.

- Vitousek, P. M., C. M. D'Antonio, L. L. Loope, and R. Westbrooks. 1996. "Biological Invasions as Global Environmental Change." *American Scientist* 84: 468–78.
- Ware, R. L., and M. E. N. Majerus. 2008. "Intraguild Predation of Immature Stages of British and Japanese Coccinellids by the Invasive Ladybird Harmonia axyridis." In From Biological Control to Invasion: The Ladybird Harmonia axyridis as a Model Species, edited by H. E. Roy and E. Wajnberg, 169–88. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Wood, S. N. 2006. *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Wu, Y., J. Li, H. Liu, G. Qiao, and X. Huang. 2020. "Investigating the Impact of Climate Warming on Phenology of Aphid Pests in China using Long-Term Historical Data." *Insects* 11: 167.
- Xue, Y., C. A. Bahlai, A. Frewin, M. K. Sears, A. W. Schaafsma, and R. H. Hallett. 2009. "Predation by *Coccinella septempunctata* and *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on *Aphis* glycines (Homoptera: Aphididae)." *Environmental Entomology* 38(3): 708–14.
- Yasuda, H., E. W. Evans, Y. Kajita, K. Urakawa, and T. Takizawa. 2004. "Asymmetric Larval Interactions between Introduced and Indigenous Ladybirds in North America." *Oecologia* 141: 722–31.
- Yasuda, H., T. Kikuchi, P. Kindlmann, and S. Sato. 2001. "Relationships between Attack and Escape Rates, Cannibalism, and Intraguild Predation in Larvae of Two Predatory Ladybirds." Journal of Insect Behavior 14: 373-84.
- Zaviezo, T., A. O. Soares, and A. A. Grez. 2019. "Interspecific Exploitative Competition between *Harmonia axyridis* and Other Coccinellids Is Stronger than Intraspecific Competition." *Biological Control* 131: 62–8.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Arnold, Matthew B., Michael Back, Michael Daniel Crowell, Nageen Farooq, Prashant Ghimire, Omon A. Obarein, Kyle E. Smart, et al. 2023. "Coexistence between Similar Invaders: The Case of Two Cosmopolitan Exotic Insects." *Ecology* e3979. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3979</u> Arnold, MB, M Back, MD Crowell, N Farooq, P Ghimire, OA Obarein, KE Smart, T Taucher, E VanderJeugdt, KI Perry, DA Landis, CA Bahlai. Coexistence between similar invaders: The case of two cosmopolitan exotic species, *Ecology*

Appendix S1

Figure S1. Pearson correlation results for environmental parameters used in within-season generalized additive model selection. Parameters are, from left to right, mean daily precipitation within a sampling week, number of rainy days within the sampling week, precipitation accumulation to date of sampling week, maximum daily rainfall observed within a sampling week, mean temperature during the sampling week, minimum temperature observed during the sampling week, maximum temperature observed during the sampling week, and the degree day accumulation to date during the sampling week, and the degree day accumulation to date during that sampling week. Pearson correlation for each pair of parameters is given above the diagonal, a scatterplot of each combination of variables is given below the diagonal. The diagonal gives a frequency histogram of values for each different parameter.



Table S1. Complete model selection results for within-year models explaining the spatiotemporal distribution of two lady beetle species from relative capture counts. Model specification used the sum of adult captures in a given plot, in a given observation period as the response variable, and included smoothed terms for environmental variables and year, and habitat as a parametric term. All terms interacted with species identity. Because of a high degree of collinearity between many predictor variables, a forward-selection approach was used to ensure no terms with Pearson correlation >0.5 appeared together in the model. An offset variable, the natural logarithm of the number of traps reporting for a given observation, was used to account for variation in sampling effort. All smoothing parameters were constrained to sp=1 to limit over-fitting. A quasi-Poisson error structure was used.

Model	Habitat	Year	Precipitation term	Temperature term	Other terms	REML	Adj R ²	Deviance explained	Highest concurvity	Proportion of deviance from environmental terms	Notes
No environmental	Х					30520	0.07	14.9			
	Х	Х				28897	0.13	25.0			
Single environmental parameter	X	X	rainy days in week			28836	0.14	25.4		0.016	Precipitation term constrained to 3 knots
	Х	Х	mean daily precipitation			28622	0.17	26.8		0.067	
	Х	Х	max daily rainfall			28627	0.16	26.8		0.067	
	Х	Х	precipitation accumulation (year to date)			27848	0.21	31.1		0.196	
	Х	Х		minimum temperature		28580	0.15	27.0		0.074	
	Х	X		mean temperature		28550	0.15	27.1		0.077	
	Х	Х		maximum temperature		28466	0.16	27.6		0.094	
	X	X		weekly degree day accumulation		28354	0.17	28.6		0.126	
	X	X		degree day accumulation (year to date)		27426	0.22	33.1		0.245	

Best temperature parameter + uncorrelated precip	X	X	rainy days in week	degree day accumulation (year to date)		27394	0.226	33.3	0.212	0.249	Precipitation term constrained to 3 knots
	X	Х	mean daily precipitation	degree day accumulation (year to date)		27227	0.256	34.1	0.189	0.267	
	X	X	max daily rainfall	degree day accumulation (year to date)		27152	0.256	34.7	0.197	0.230	Best model with two environmental terms
Best precipitation parameter + uncorrelated temperature	X	X	precipitation accumulation (year to date)	minimum temperature		27385	0.244	33.8	0.496	0.260	
	Х	Х	precipitation accumulation (year to date)	mean temperature		27287	0.251	34.3	0.471	0.271	
	X	Х	precipitation accumulation (year to date)	maximum temperature		27300	0.253	34.1	0.435	0.267	
	X	Х	precipitation accumulation (year to date)	weekly degree day accumulation		27211	0.264	34.8	0.432	0.282	
Best temperature parameter + best uncorrelated precip + other uncorrelated params	X	X	max daily rainfall	degree day accumulation (year to date)	minimum temperature	26927	0.275	36	0.606	0.306	
	Х	X	max daily rainfall	degree day accumulation (year to date)	mean temperature	26936	0.269	35.9	0.648	0.304	
	X	X	max daily rainfall	degree day accumulation (year to date)	maximum temperature	26835	0.281	36.4	0.376	0.313	Best overall model

Table S2. Within-season multivariate model results explaining the spatio-temporal distribution of the two lady beetle species from relative capture counts (-REML=26835, n=27432, Deviance explained = 36.4%). Model specification used the sum of adult captures in a given plot, in a given observation period as the response variable, and included smoothed terms for degree day accumulation, maximum rainfall, maximum temperature, and year, and habitat as a parametric term. All terms interacted with species identity. An offset variable, the natural logarithm of the number of traps reporting for a given observation, was used to account for variation in sampling effort. All smoothing parameters were constrained to sp=1 to limit over-fitting. A quasi-Poisson error structure was used.

Term	Effective degrees of freedom	F	p-value
Degree days : C7	6.26	169.96	<0.001
Degree days : HA	6.76	92.74	<0.001
Max rainfall : C7	6.52	34.77	<0.001
Max rainfall : HA	6.96	10.94	<0.001
Max temperature : C7	6.61	43.29	<0.001
Max temperature : HA	6.71	15.01	<0.001
Year : C7	7.17	136.59	<0.001
Year : HA	7.06	134.64	<0.001
Habitat (parametric term)	8	165.14	<0.001
Species (parametric term)	1	10.12	< 0.001
Habitat x Species	8	90.41	< 0.001

Figure S2. Pearson correlation results for environmental parameters used in between-season generalized additive model selection. Parameters are, from left to right, degree day accumulation at 20 weeks, 25 weeks. 30 weeks and 35 weeks, and then precipitation at 20 weeks, 25 weeks, 30 and 35 weeks of year. Pearson correlation for each pair of parameters is given above the diagonal, a scatterplot of each combination of variables is given below the diagonal. The diagonal gives a frequency histogram of values for each different parameter.



Table S3. Complete model selection results for between-year models explaining the spatiotemporal distribution of two lady beetle species from relative capture counts. Each species was modelled separately. Model specification used the sum of adult captures in a given plot, in a given observation period as the response variable, and environmental parameters were subjected to backward selection as described in the methods, with best model selected based on lowest -REML with no parameters with concurvity >0.8. An offset variable, the natural logarithm of the number of traps reporting for a given observation, was used to account for variation in sampling effort. All smoothing parameters were constrained to sp=1 to limit over-fitting. A quasi-Poisson error structure was used. Variables in table are defined in Figure S2 caption.

Species	Habitat	dd20	dd25	dd30	dd35	p20	p25	p30	p35	REML	Adj R2	Deviance explained	Highest concurvity (observed)	Proportion of deviance explained by environmental terms	Notes
HA	X									2370	0.22	22.8			
	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1844	0.67	68.2	1.000	0.666	
	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	1842	0.67	68.2	1.000	0.666	
	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х		Х	Х	1840	0.67	68.2	1.000	0.666	
	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х			Х	1838	0.67	68.2	1.000	0.666	Best HA model
	Х	Х		Х		Х			Х	1841	0.67	68.0	1.000	0.665	
	Х			X		X			Х	1855	0.67	67.3	0.759	0.661	Best HA model without concurvity issues
	Х					Х			Х	1967	0.59	59.9	0.489	0.619	
C7	Х									2552	0.20	36.6			
	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1837	0.75	80.7	1.000	0.546	
	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		1836	0.75	80.7	1.000	0.546	
	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		1838	0.75	80.6	1.000	0.546	Best C7 model
	Х		Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		1846	0.75	80.3	1.000	0.544	
	Х		Х		Х		Х	Х		1871	0.75	79.9	1.000	0.542	
	X		X		X			X		1905	0.74	79.0	0.771	0.537	Best C7 model without concurvity issues
	Х		Х		Х					2029	0.69	74.1	0.383	0.506	

Table S4. Between-year multivariate model results explaining the spatio-temporal distribution of the two lady beetle species from relative capture counts. The C7 model: -REML=1905.3, n=1353, Deviance explained = 79.0%; HA model: -REML=1855.1, n=1353, Deviance explained = 67.3%. Each species was modelled separately. Model specification used the sum of adult captures in a given plot, in a given observation period as the response variable, and environmental parameters were subjected to backward selection as described in the methods. An offset variable, the natural logarithm of the number of traps reporting for a given observation, was used to account for variation in sampling effort. All smoothing parameters were constrained to sp=1 to limit over-fitting. A quasi-Poisson error structure was used.

Term	Effective degrees of freedom	F	p-value
C7 model			
Degree days at 25 weeks	7.84	56.89	<0.001
Degree days at 35 weeks	7.05	84.68	<0.001
Precipitation at 30 weeks	5.14	43.08	< 0.001
Habitat (parametric term)	8	122.1	< 0.001
HA model			
Degree days at 30 weeks	6.02	26.06	<0.001
Precipitation at 20 weeks	7.86	28.37	< 0.001
Precipitation at 35 weeks	6.56	41.59	< 0.001
Habitat (parametric term)	8	72.13	<0.001